UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/326,405  | 06/04/1999  | DAVE B. LUNDAHL      | 363-01              | 4135             |
| 27479 7590 04/04/2011<br>COCHRAN FREUND & YOUNG LLC<br>2026 CARIBOU DR<br>SUITE 201<br>FORT COLLINS, CO 80525 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | A, PHI DIEU TRAN    |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 3633                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 04/04/2011          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)          |                    |  |  |  |
|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Nation of Abandonment  | 09/326,405  | LUNDAHL, DAVE B.      |                    |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner  | Art Unit              |                    |  |  |  |
|  | PHI D. A  | 3633                  |                    |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence ad      | ldress             |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |   |                       |                    |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not perform the proposed reply was received on, but it does not perform the proposed reply was received on, but it does not perform the proposed reply was received on, but it does not perform the proposed reply was received on, but it does not perform the property of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not perform the property of the propert</li></ul></li></ol> | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on  | <u></u> .             | ·                  |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C   | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);   |                       |                    |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €   |   | mpt at a proper rep   | ly, to the non-    |  |  |  |
| (d) No reply has been received.  |   |                       |                    |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)   The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>  | 5).<br>received on (with a Certifica  | ate of Mailing or Tr  | ansmission dated   |  |  |  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance   | e of \$ is due.   |                       |                    |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1  | The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |                       |                    |  |  |  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no   | ot been received.   |                       |                    |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>   | iired by, and within the three-month p  | period set in, the No | otice of           |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>   | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran  | smission dated        | ), which is        |  |  |  |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  |   |                       |                    |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the<br/>the applicants.</li> </ol>   | e attorney or agent of record, the ass  | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | attorney or agent (acting in a repres   | entative capacity u   | nder 37 CFR        |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim   |   | e the period for see  | eking court review |  |  |  |
| 7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:  |   |                       |                    |  |  |  |
| The decision by CAFC on 8/8/2008   |   |                       |                    |  |  |  |
|  | /Phi D A/<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3633   |                       |                    |  |  |  |
|  |   |                       |                    |  |  |  |